

NeoALTTO Scoring Guidelines and Approval Criteria

Data Only

Project Title:

Principle Investigator:

Assessor:

Do you recommend that this proposal is taken forward for further review / development into a translational project?

Yes / No

SCIENTIFIC SCORING CRITERIA: Score (1-5)¹

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1. Potential clinical impact of the proposed project: | <input style="width: 50px; height: 30px;" type="text"/> |
| 2. Relevance and robustness of the scientific questions and objectives | <input style="width: 50px; height: 30px;" type="text"/> |
| 3. Novelty, Innovation and Merit: | <input style="width: 50px; height: 30px;" type="text"/> |
| 4. Statistical design and overall strategy of the study: | <input style="width: 50px; height: 30px;" type="text"/> |

SCIENTIFIC SCORE

Additional 2 points ONLY if INTERNAL PROPOSAL

TOTAL SCORE

¹ Score 1= least quality, 5= highest quality

OBLIGATORY CRITERIA:

Adequate potential funding to carry out the research (if applicable):	YES	NO
Local IRB approval (if applicable):	YES²	NO
Study's patient informed consent form covers the scope of the research proposal:	YES	NO³

Approval Criteria:

Executive Committee approval will be proposed if all obligatory criteria are met and the average scientific score is ≥ 16 . Recommendation to the ALTTO/NeoALTTO studies' SC will be based on initial review and scoring of the Executive Committee designated members. The SC will endorse (or not) the recommended proposals.

² Conditional approval of a project can be granted if EC/IRB decision is pending, but biosamples and data will not be released until full EC/IRB approval (if applicable).

³ If existing informed consent form does not fully cover the proposed research, the research could be modified accordingly. If the proposed research can't comply with the informed consent signed by the patient, the proposal will be rejected.

Please report any comments concerning the proposal under the appropriate heading below:

- 1. Potential clinical impact of the proposed project:**
- 2. Relevance and robustness of the scientific questions and objectives:**
- 3. Novelty, Innovation and Merit:**
- 4. Statistical design and overall strategy of the study:**
- 5. Any other comments:**